

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/491,146	KHUDYAKOV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Gwendolyn D. Spratt.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

17, 19, 31, 33, 34

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant and indicated that the claims would be in allowable condition if the following amendments were made to the claims:

the insertion of the term - - mosaic- - between the words "a" and "protein" in the phrase "A nucleic acid encoding a protein" in line 1 of claim 17;

the insertion of the term - - mosaic- - between the words "a" and "protein" in the phrase "A nucleic acid encoding a protein" in line 1 of claim 19;

deletion of the term "homologous" and the phrase "a species of" from line 2 of claim 31;

insertion of the phrase - - in said mosaic protein- - at the end of claim 33; and

deletion of the term "homologous" and the phrase "a species of" from line 2 of claim 34.

Applicant agreed to the changes and indicated that an Examiner's Amendment would be acceptable.